

1:30-2:45, CTE

Attendees: Kathleen Bailey, Jackie Lerner, John Rakestraw, Danielle Taghian, Keisha Valdez, Jeff Cohen, Julia Whitcavitch-DeVoy, Billy Soo
Boston College Undergraduates: Madeleine McCullough '20; Reed Piercey '19;

The agenda of the February meeting was teaching/course evaluations; and quick update on Teaching Retreat.

Three Boston College undergraduates were asked about their concerns regarding the teaching/course evaluations.

Here are the students' initial thoughts.

First student: Students liked the previous system, PEPS; it offered more opportunity for more direct student feedback; students could provide explicit, specific comments. Since the update, the focus is narrowly on the course evaluation. It is tough not being able to read directly what students are thinking about the course and teaching style. The direct feedback is missing. It's more numerical now, which is less informative.

Second student: The main issue with PEPS is that now a lot of the evaluations are from 2007. A discussion started in UGBC as to whether it would be possible to integrate the course evaluations we have now with the searchable format of PEPS. There is something helpful about being able to see what a professor has been doing or what his or her impact has been on the students. We want to bring back some sort of interactivity with respect to the students who are looking to take certain classes.

Third student: Again, PEPS is dated at the moment. There are certain professors who are not on PEPS because they are new, so it is hard to gauge some of the professors. If we could change the format, that might affect whether students add/drop classes before or after the deadline. Some students wouldn't sign up for a class based on the review, which would keep a spot open for someone who really wants to take the course.

What do the students see now? The students see the 1-5 ratings, but they do not see the student comment section. The comments are left out of what students see because sometimes comments appear that are totally inappropriate. Some faculty want it to be traceable, but the agreement with the students is that course evaluations are anonymous. There is limited value to simply seeing the ratings, but making the comments public poses several difficulties.

One of the reasons that PEPS fizzled is because it had to be monitored, that is, something that was inappropriately posted had to be deleted.

One of the comments raised the possibility of changing the rating system so it can be more informative for students trying to decide on courses

The point was raised that having faculty approve student comments before they are posted is going to yield a very different set of comments. There will be faculty who will not include even constructive criticism, so you'll see nothing but positive comments.

Again, the comments tend to be either very, very negative, or very, very positive. And unless the faculty is mandated to do so, they are unlikely to go through all the comments and edit them for publication.

What questions would the students like to see? What kind of questions would give students useful information?

One student raised the issue of different learning styles. Simply rating how good a course is is not

There is some confusion as to what extent syllabi are in fact available to the students, and where they are available.

For the students, the base line information should be available to all students before registering. A more centralized system for the syllabi could be very useful. This could help save time and trouble during the add/drop period.

To what extent do students take the evaluations seriously, take their time filling them out, etc.?

The students said it depends on the class. If the student really enjoyed the class, then they are inclined to spend a lot of time filling out the evaluations. Likewise, if the student did not like the class. If the student feels lukewarm about the class, he or she fills out the evaluation very quickly and without much thought.

Some students

Acceptances will be sent out shortly before spring break.

Application Feedback: some faculty thought the application looked intimidating and onerous, with too many questions. Some were afraid they didn't have the language to explain their project properly in pedagogical terms with which they are unfamiliar. Some commented that they would have applied but the timing was too soon after winter break. Others said they hadn't heard about the retreat (despite extensive